

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/758,594	01/16/2004	Yukitomo Yuhara	371312002300	5390
	7590 04/23/2003 z FOERSTER LLP	EXAMINER		
1650 TYSONS	BOULEVARD	SMALLEY, JAMES N		
SUITE 400 MCLEAN, VA	22102		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3781	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/23/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

٠.	1	
Н	v	
и		

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/758,594	YUHARA, YUKITOMO		
Examiner	Art Unit		
James N. Smalley	3781		

Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	
	James N. Smalley	3781	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>30 March 2007</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS AF	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in (fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7 Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of experience.	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE 06.07(f). on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount	g date of the final rejecti E FIRST REPLY WAS F I 36(a) and the appropria of the fee. The appropr	on. ILED WITHIN te extension fee iate extension fee
under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	r than three months after the mailing da).	te of the final rejection, o	even if timely filed,
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	
AMENDMENTS 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered b	Acausa
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co			ecause
 (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) (c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or 	ow);	•	the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a		ected claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 1. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		mpliant Amendment	(DTOL_324)
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		mphant Amendment	(F10L-324).
 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s). Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s). 		timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro	igtimes will not be entered, or b) $igcap$ wivided below or appended.	II be entered and an e	explanation of
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:			•
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1 and 2</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			•
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE B. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but	ut before or on the date of filing a N	otics of Appeal will be	at he entered
because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar 	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attacl	ned.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by See Continuation Sheet.	ut does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).		
13. Other:		AM. I	test l
		Millian Paris	UION COMP
·	Si	ANTHONY D. STASI	

ANTHONY D. STASHICK SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700 Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The arguments presented in the Remarks filed 30 March 2007 are not found to be persusive.

Applicant argues that substantial modification would be required to Boucheron and Li in order to provide the snap on cover taught by Montoli and that this would damage the principle of operation of the reference per MPEP 2143.01(VI). However, this is not found to be persasive because Examiner asserts one of ordinary skill would be motivated by the teachings of Montoli that a decorative outer cover can be removably provided by a snap connection in order to provide this benefit to the containers of Boucheron and Li without substantial redesign. Because these containers already provide decorative covers which can function as replacement covers, one of ordinary skill would only be modifying the connection means, and not the function of the devcie itself.